UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,356	08/01/2003	Pavel Kouznetsov	MSFT-2185/302760.2	3970
41505 7590 11/05/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			EXAM	IINER
	E, 12TH FLOOR	,	NALVEN, A	ANDREW L
	IIA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TO EXPIRE 3 MOTHIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red will expire SIX (6) MONTERPOLICATION of the communication, even if the communication, even if the communication of the comm		address (30) DAYS, s communication.
TO EXPIRE 3 MG THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MON' application to become AB, communication, even if the r 2007. annon-final. ept for formal matter	2134 th the correspondence ONTH(S) OR THIRTY CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any	(30) DAYS,
TO EXPIRE 3 MG THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MON: application to become AB. communication, even if the r 2007. a non-final. ept for formal matter	th the correspondence ONTH(S) OR THIRTY CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any	(30) DAYS,
TO EXPIRE 3 MOTHIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red will expire SIX (6) MONTERPOLICATION of the communication, even if the communication, even if the communication of the comm	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any	(30) DAYS,
THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB a communication, even if the communication, even if the communication are application to become AB are accommunication, even if the communication are accommunication, even if the communication are accommunication, even if the communication are accommunication are accommunicati	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any ers, prosecution as to the	s communication.
s non-final. pt for formal matte	• •	the merits is
s non-final. pt for formal matte	• •	the merits is
pt for formal matte	• •	the merits is
-	• •	the merits is
Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
consideration.	•	
	/	./
n requirement.		
		•
contod or h\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	in start to but the Fuers	
	•	
• .	` '	
	• •	• •
		•
under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
een received.		
een received in A	pplication No	
ments have been	received in this Nation	ıal Stage
Rule 17.2(a)).		
ertified copies not	received.	
4) Interview S	iummary (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
	nformal Patent Application	
	_· .	·
	n requirement. cepted or b) ob s) be held in abeyan quired if the drawing Note the attached under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in A ments have been Rule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not	cepted or b) objected to by the Exami s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 Note the attached Office Action or form under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Heen received. Heen received in Application No The ments have been received in this Nation Rule 17.2(a)). The paper No(s)/Mail Date The paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/632,356

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/2007 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-14, and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobata et al US PGPub 2002/0077985 in view of Stefik et al US Patent No. 6,714,921 and Shutt US PGPub 2003/0217034.
- 4. With regards to claims 1 and 13, Kobata teaches receiving the document into the folder (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0225-0226), receiving a request for the copy of the document (Kobata, paragraphs 0091-0092), defining rights data for the copy of the document (Kobata, paragraph 0090), publishing the copy of the document and the

Art Unit: 2134

defined rights data thereof to result in a package with the rights data and the copy of the document encrypted according to a content key (KD) to result in a KD(copy) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), and delivering the formed package to the requester (Kobata, paragraph 0096) whereby the rights data can be discovered upon the requester attempting to render the package and such discovery triggers a request for a corresponding license based on such rights data (Kobata, paragraph 0100), the license including KD therein in a form available to the requester to decrypt KD(copy) if the requester satisfies the rights data (Kobata, paragraph 0100). Kobata fails to teach defining rights data based on rights information of the folder. However, Stefik teaches defining rights data based on rights information of the folder (Stefik, column 10 line 64 – column 11 line 9). Further, Shutt teaches the dynamic definition of rights for a document added to the folder (Shutt, paragraph 0100, single document security profile assigned to documents added to the folder). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Stefik's and Shutt's folder rights method with Kobata's document protection system because it offers the advantage of providing a method of restricting usage to several files by providing usage restrictions to a single folder (Stefik, column 10 line 64 - column 11 line 9) and ensuring that all new documents are assigned with security rights data thus preventing a party from accessing data not assigned to them (Shutt, paragraph 0100, paragraph 0009).

5. With regards to claims 2 and 14, Kobata as modified teaches obtaining the license on behalf of the requester and delivering the obtained license to the requester with the package (Kobata, paragraph 0096).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,356

Art Unit: 2134

6. With regards to claims 6, 8, 18, and 20, Kobata teaches the folder has access

Page 4

access the folder, and wherein defining the rights data comprises mapping the access

controls being defined for each individual and/or for each group of individuals that may

controls for the folder into the rights data for the copy of the document (Stefik, column

10 line 64 – column 11 line 9).

7. With regards to claims 7, 9, 19, and 21, Kobata teaches mapping the access controls for the folder into the rights data for the requester and also for all other individuals or groups of individuals specified in the access controls (Stefik, column 10 line 64 – column 11 line 9), whereby the copy of the document with the rights data attached thereto can be distributed and redistributed to such other individuals and each such other individual can employ the rights data to obtain a license to render the

document (Kobata, paragraph 0103).

8. With regards to claims 10 and 22-23, Kobata teaches copying substantially all of the rights template into the rights data for the copy of the document (Stefik, column 10 line 64 – column 11 line 9).

9. With regards to claims 12 and 24, Kobata teaches receiving the document into the folder in a non-RM protected form whereby RM protection is applied to the copy of the document when the copy of the document is delivered to the requester (Kobata, paragraphs 0153-0154, Figure 9 Items 915).

paragraphic cross cross, rigare chemic cross.

10. Claims 3-5 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobata et al US PGPub 2002/0077985. Shutt US PGPub 2003/0217034 and Stefik

Art Unit: 2134

et al US Patent No. 6,714,921, as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Xiao US Patent No. 6,571,337.

- 11. With regards to claims 3 and 15, Kobata as modified teaches generating the content key (KD) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), encrypting the copy of the document with KD to form KD(copy) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), but fails to teach protecting KD(copy) to a rights management server by encrypting KD with a public key of the RM server to result in RM(KD), whereby only the RM server with a corresponding private key can apply the same to reveal KD. However, Xiao teaches protecting KD(copy) to a rights management server by encrypting KD with a public key of the RM server to result in RM(KD) (Xiao, column 4 lines 50-67), whereby only the RM server with a corresponding private key can apply the same to reveal KD (Xiao, column 4 lines 50-67, only clearance center can decrypt). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Xiao's method of encrypting content keys because it offers the advantage of preventing unauthorized access to the content key and the data itself (Xiao, column 4 lines 57-67).
- 12. With regards to claims 4 and 16, Kobata as modified teaches the defined rights data signed by one of the RM server or the document store (Xiao, column 4 line 63 column 5 line 6).
- 13. With regards to claims 5 and 17, Kobata as modified teaches concatenating the rights data with PU-RM(KD) therein to KD(copy) to form the package (Xiao, column 5 lines 45-57, column 1 line 62 column 2 line 28).

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571 272 3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

